

Integrated hydrological modelling of small- and medium-sized water storages with application to the upper Fengman Reservoir Basin of China

Chi Zhang¹, Yong Peng¹, Jinggang Chu¹, Christine A. Shoemaker², Aijing Zhang¹

¹ Institute of Water Resources and Flood Control, Dalian University of Technology,
Dalian 116024, China

² Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Cornell University, NY 14850,
United States

*Corresponding author:

Dr. Yong Peng

Institute of Water Resources and Flood Control, Dalian University of Technology

Dalian 116024, China

Tel.: 86-411-8470-7911

Fax: 86-411-8470-8517

E-mail: pyongcuidi@163.com

Abstract

Hydrological simulation in regions with a large number of water storages is difficult due to the inaccurate water storage data, including both topologic parameters and operational rules. To address this issue, this paper presents an improved version of SWAT2005 (Soil and Water Assessment Tool, version 2005) using the satellite-based dataset Landsat, an empirical storage classification method, and some empirical relationships to estimate water storage and release from the various levels of flow regulation facilities. The improved SWAT2005 is characterised by three features: (1) a realistic representation of the relationships between the water surface area and volume of each type of water storage, ranging from small-sized ponds for water flow regulation to large-sized and medium-sized reservoirs for water supply and hydropower generation; (2) water balance and transport through a network combining both sequential and parallel streams and storage links; and (3) calibrations for the physical parameters and the human interference parameters. Both the original and improved SWAT2005 are applied to the upper Fengman Reservoir Basin, and the results of these applications are compared. The improved SWAT2005 accurately models small- and medium-sized storages, indicating a significantly improved performance from that of the original model in reproducing streamflows.

Keywords water storages; human activities; parameter calibration; hydrological model; SWAT2005

1. Introduction

Water storages, including reservoirs and ponds, are important management tools

in the exploitation and utilisation of water resources (Gross and Moglen, 2007; Lopez-Moreno et al., 2009). The small- and medium-sized water storages mentioned in this study refer to small- and medium-sized reservoirs and ponds.

Different approaches have been developed to account for the impacts of water storages on runoff in hydrological simulations. Individual water storage simulation models (Jayatilaka et al., 2003; Saxton and Willey, 2004) have been developed for small-sized river catchments. The results of previous studies suggest that these models could be used to develop a useful tool for optimising the usage of limited water resources in similar regions with a small amounts of water storages, i.e. less than ten interconnected water storages.

Distributed, physically based models such as SWAT2005 (Neitsch et al., 2002a,c) are typically used for the hydrological simulation of large-sized river catchments. SWAT2005 uses hydrological responding units (hrus) as the basic modelling units to explicitly consider the water storages by appropriately parameterising their corresponding hrus (Payan et al., 2008). For example, to assess the impacts of water storages on streamflow in the Huai River Basin of China, Wang and Xia (2010) spatially represented 61 water storages in SWAT2000 by modifying the outflow calculation method for water storages. However, distributed, physically based models often require a great amount of input data and intensive computation due to the small scales of measurements, such as hrus for SWAT2005 (Sophocleous and Perkins, 2000).

To reduce the computational requirement, Payan (2008) proposed a way to

account for man-made reservoirs in a lumped hydrological model. This model could not explicitly simulate the key processes in reservoirs (infiltration, evaporation, operation, and so on); instead, it used the observed volume variations to represent these processes. Obviously, the model simplifications may not reasonably reflect various reservoir processes, particularly those in a large-scale, complex river catchment.

To represent several thousands of reservoirs located in the State of Ceará in semi-arid Northeast Brazil, Güntner et al. (2004) presented a simple deterministic water balance modelling scheme within a distributed model. The key component of the scheme was a cascade-type approach, within which the reservoirs were grouped into six classes according to storage capacity, each with different rules for flow routing. Water uses were considered for irrigation and livestock, as well as domestic, industrial and tourist uses. The scheme assumed that the smaller-sized reservoirs were located upstream of larger-sized reservoirs and that the outflows from smaller-sized reservoirs were equally discharged into all of the larger-sized reservoir classes located downstream. To cope with data scarcity, particularly regarding water use and water surface area, the scheme used empirical data of water use and an empirical formula to calculate water surface area. Additionally, reservoir operation rules were not considered in the scheme. Refinements of the model should primarily focus on an improved definition of the basin area fractions contributing to individual reservoir classes by using more detailed data on topography and reservoir locations from remote sensing studies. Furthermore, a better knowledge of reservoir operation rules

promises to significantly improve the model's performance.

Therefore, the basin hydrologic cycle must be simulated accurately with all of the available information and reasonable modelling simplifications of the numerous water storages in catchments with a large number of water storages. Given the limitations of SWAT2005, the aim of this paper is to present an improved version of SWAT2005 to allow water storages in a large-scale river catchment to be simulated more accurately. There have been several studies on obtaining the water surface areas of small-sized reservoirs with satellite images (White, 1978; McFeeters, 1996; Frazier and Page, 2000). Optical (i.e., Landsat, Spot, Aster and ISS) or radar satellite systems (i.e., Envisat, ERS, and Radarsat) could be used to obtain the water surface areas of small-sized reservoirs. Envisat ASAR (C-band radar) and Landsat TM/ETM+ data (multispectral imagery) now provide images at spatial resolutions of 30 m and 15 m, respectively (Gardini et al., 1995). In India, the storage volumes of small-sized reservoirs were estimated with Landsat images (Mialhe et al., 2008). Envisat advanced synthetic aperture radar (ASAR) was used to obtain the water surface areas of reservoirs (Liebe et al., 2009). The main characteristics of the improved SWAT2005 are summarised as follows: (1) a realistic representation of the relationships between the water surface area and volume of each type of water storages, ranging from ponds for water regulation to large- and medium-sized reservoirs for water supply and hydropower generation with the satellite-based dataset Landsat; (2) water balance and transport through a network combining both sequential and parallel streams and storage links to more accurately define the basin area

fractions contributing to individual water storage classes; and (3) calibrations for the physical parameters and the human interference parameters to gain a better understanding of reservoir operation rules.

The improved SWAT2005 is applied to the upper Fengman Reservoir Basin, which has many small- and medium-sized water storages for irrigation, industrial, and domestic uses. The impoundment and release of these storages have a significant influence on the inflows to the Fengman Reservoir, thus making the Fengman Reservoir increasingly difficult to be operated, particularly during flood seasons. During non-flood seasons and the preliminary stage of a flood season, inflows to the Fengman Reservoir are reduced due to the impoundment of water storages, and power generation is affected. In the middle of flood seasons, inflows to the Fengman Reservoir increase due to the release of water storages, and flood control is affected. There are so many small- and medium-sized water storages in the upper Fengman Reservoir Basin that it is difficult to obtain their detailed design and running information. Furthermore, computing time increases substantially when all of the water storages in the upper Fengman Reservoir Basin are added to the models. The simulation results indicate that the improved SWAT2005 models small- and medium-sized water storages more accurately than the original SWAT2005.

2. Methodologies

The framework for the improved SWAT2005 is shown in Fig. 1, and the grey area is the location of the improvements.

In view of the hundreds or thousands of water storages that may be located in a large-scale river catchment, it is not feasible to describe each water storage individually in a large-scale model. Thus, the focus of this paper is not to exactly represent the behavior of each water storage, but rather to accurately model the water storage system on an aggregated level to allow water storages in a large-scale river catchment to be more accurately simulated. Detailed design and running information are known for all large- and medium-sized reservoirs. For small-sized reservoirs, only their geographic positions, drainage areas, emergency storage volumes, and principal storage volumes are known at the level of administrative units (municipalities). For ponds, only their total drainage areas and storage volumes are known at the basin level. Therefore, water storages are grouped into $r_{\max} = 5$ classes depending on their storage capacities V_{\max} (Table 1). Large-sized reservoirs are the water storages of class 5, medium-sized reservoirs are the water storages of class 4, small-sized I reservoirs are the water storages of class 3, small-sized II reservoirs are the water storages of class 2, and ponds are the water storages of class 1.

SWAT is a nearly ideal model for basin-scale water resources applications due to its reservoir and pond modules. SWAT has been widely used in a variety of investigations, such as hydrological simulation and assessment, non-point pollution, climate change impact, parameter sensitivity, and model calibration and uncertainty analysis (Borah and Bera, 2004; Arnold and Fohrer, 2005; Gassman et al., 2007).

The water balance used in the reservoir and pond modules to simulate water storages is

$$V = V_{store} + V_{flowin} - V_{flowout} + V_{pcp} - V_{evap} - V_{seep} \quad (1)$$

where V is the volume of water in reservoirs and ponds at the end of the day (m^3); V_{stored} is the volume of water stored in reservoirs and ponds at the beginning of the day (m^3); V_{flowin} is the volume of water entering reservoirs and ponds during the day (m^3); V_{pcp} is the volume of precipitation falling on reservoirs and ponds during the day (m^3); V_{evap} is the volume of water removed from reservoirs and ponds by evaporation during the day (m^3); and V_{seep} is the volume of water lost from reservoirs and ponds by seepage during the day (m^3).

The water surface areas of water storages are needed to calculate the amount of precipitation falling on water storages and the amount of evaporation and seepage removed from water storages in SWAT. Water surface area varies with the water volume of the water storages.

In SWAT2005, water surface area is updated daily using the following equations

$$SA = \beta_{sa} \cdot V^{exsa} \quad (2)$$

$$exsa = \frac{\log_{10}(SA_{em}) - \log_{10}(SA_{pr})}{\log_{10}(V_{em}) - \log_{10}(V_{pr})} \quad (3)$$

$$\beta_{sa} = \left(\frac{SA_{em}}{V_{em}} \right)^{exsa} \quad (4)$$

where SA is the water surface area of the water storage (ha); V is the volume of water in the water storage (m^3); SA_{em} is the water surface area of the water storage when filled to the emergency spillway (ha); SA_{pr} is the water surface area of the water storage when filled to the principal spillway (ha); V_{em} is the volume of water held in the water storage when filled to the emergency spillway (m^3); and V_{pr} is the

volume of water held in the water storage when filled to the principal spillway (m^3).

Güntner et al. (2004) calculated water surface area as a function of the actual storage volume with

$$A_{RL} = c_{RL} \cdot (V_t)^{d_{RL}} \quad (5)$$

where c_{RL} and d_{RL} are reservoir-specific constants depending on its geometry.

Liebe et al. (2005) estimated storage volume as a function of water surface area with

$$V = 0.00857 \cdot A_{Res}^{1.4367} \quad (6)$$

where V is the volume of the water storage (m^3), and A_{Res} is its area (m^2).

Because the water surface area of a water storage is related to its scope, storage and drainage area, the relationship between water surface area and storage volume varies for the different water storage classes. It is not reasonable to use a definite relationship between water surface area and storage volume to calculate the water surface areas of different water storage classes, as done previously by Güntner et al. (2004), Liebe et al. (2005) and SWAT2005. In this paper, an approach for obtaining more precise relationships between the water surface area and storage volume of different water storage classes is proposed.

Water balance and transport through a network combining both sequential and parallel streams and storage links is proposed, incorporating surface runoff and routing mechanisms based on the spatial topological relationships among water storages, the impoundment and release regulations of water storages with water uses.

The reservoir module in SWAT can simulate water storages with detailed design

and running information. The pond module in SWAT is an aggregate model of water bodies within any sub-basin. Therefore, in this paper, minor large- and medium-sized reservoirs (classes 4 and 5) are added to SWAT2005 and simulated by the reservoir module of SWAT2005. Small-sized reservoirs and ponds (classes 1-3) are not added to SWAT2005; instead, they are simulated by the pond module of SWAT2005. The pond module of SWAT2005 treats all small-sized reservoirs and ponds within a sub-basin as one water storage, and surface runoff and routing processes among the storages are not considered. Obviously, the pond module of SWAT2005 is a reasonable simplification but must be improved to more accurately describe the hydrological processes in large catchments.

Water consumption is considered to be lost from the system. SWAT allows water to be removed from the shallow aquifer, the deep aquifer, the reach, or the water storage within any sub-basin. Water consumption is allowed to vary from month to month. The average daily volume of water removed from the source needs to be specified for each month. Because the related water-use data, such as source location, are difficult to collect and variable for water uses in different places and during different years, the water-use distribution approach is proposed in this paper.

Because numerous water storages within the basin significantly influence the basin hydrologic cycle, the impacts of water storages must be included in the parameters and calibrated in the hydrological simulation process with hydrological models. Therefore, calibration of the physical parameters and then the human interference parameters is proposed in the parameter calibration process to allow the

water storages in a large-scale river catchment to be more accurately simulated.

2.1. Relationships between water surface area and storage volume for water storages

2.1.1. Water surface area

The principal storage volumes and emergency storage volumes of water storages were collected from the Hydrological Administration of Jilin Province in China. In this paper, the reservoir water surface areas are extracted from Landsat TM/ETM+ data from 1986 to 2006 with the bands relationship. The Landsat TM/ETM+ data are collected from the International Science Data Service Platform (<http://datamirror.csdb.cn>). Because Landsat TM/ETM+ provides high-resolution spatial data for every 16 days, the Landsat TM/ETM+ data for flood seasons in wet years are used to extract the water surface areas of water storages within the basin. The extracted water surface areas of flood seasons in wet years are assumed to correspond with the water storages' principal storage volumes. Landsat TM/ETM+ data from 9 September 2005 are used to extract the water surface areas of the water storages within the basin because 2005 is a wet year and the flood season is from June to August.

2.1.2. Classifications of water storages and relationship between water surface area and principal storage volume for each water storage class

Water storages with different slopes, storages, and drainage areas have different relationships between their water surface area and storage volume. Therefore, the three elements (slope, storage, and drainage area) should be considered when

developing the relationships between water surface area and principal storage volume for each water storage class. The ratio of drainage area to storage volume (drainage area / storage volume) is set as an index to classify water storages. For larger ratios, the storage volume increases more rapidly and there is a higher probability of reaching the principal storage volume during wet periods. The approach to classifying water storages and acquiring the relationships between water surface area and principal storage volume for each water storage class is described below.

Step 1 Set the water storages' initial classifications according to the value distributions of their classification indexes (slope, drainage area / storage volume, and principle storage).

Step 2 Calculate the mean characteristics of each water storage class, such as the mean storage volume, mean drainage area, and mean slope, and find the medium-sized reservoir in the basin with similar mean characteristics. Because detailed design and running information are known for the medium-sized reservoirs, use the ratio of the storage volume of the medium-sized reservoir from 9 September 2005 to its principle storage volume to adjust the principle storage volume of the water storages in the water storage class.

Step 3 Calculate the correlation coefficients between the water surface area and principal storage volume of the water storages for each water storage class.

Step 4 Adjust the classification indexes and repeat step 2 until the correlation coefficients could no longer be improved.

2.2. Water balance and transport through a network combining both sequential and parallel streams and storage links

In this paper, a sequential and parallel routing scheme is developed to approximately describe the upstream–downstream positions of different water storage classes within the sub-basin and the redistribution of runoff among them. The major difference between the cascade scheme and the sequential and parallel routing scheme is the way in which the inflow and outflow of water storages are calculated. More specifically, the latter divides the water storages into two simulation classes: classes 4-5 (large- and medium-sized reservoirs) and classes 1-3 (small-sized reservoirs and ponds). Additionally, to show the variabilities of water uses in different places and during different years, the water-use distribution approach based on parameter calibration is proposed and presented below.

2.2.1. Large- and medium-sized reservoirs

The water balance for large- and medium-sized reservoirs is represented explicitly by the reservoir module of SWAT2005 because (1) they are of great importance to water supplies and (2) they are the only reservoirs with detailed reservoir characteristics. The location of their dams is the criterion by which the entire basin is subdivided into sub-basins that are linked via the river network.

2.2.2. Small-sized reservoirs and ponds

The pond module of SWAT2005 is improved in this paper. The large number of small-sized reservoirs and countless ponds (classes 1-3) are represented in the improved SWAT2005 in an aggregated manner (Fig. 2).

- Simplification and spatial distribution

Because the geographic position of each small-sized reservoir is known, the spatial topology of small-sized reservoirs within an individual sub-basin is determined. From a detailed survey of the spatial topology of small-sized reservoirs in an individual sub-basin, it is reasonable to assume that small-sized reservoirs of the same class are interconnected in a parallel scheme, and small-sized reservoirs of different classes are interconnected in a sequential scheme (Fig. 2).

Given that the number, n_r , of water storages in each class, r , is known for each sub-basin, the water balance of each water storage class, r , within each sub-basin is calculated for one hypothetical representative reservoir, RM , with the mean characteristics for that water storage class within the corresponding sub-basin, i.e., with its storage capacity being equal to the mean value of the water storages belonging to that class in the corresponding sub-basin. The water balance of RM within each sub-basin was calculated with a daily time step according to

$$V_{RMr,t} = V_{RMr,t-1} + \frac{Q_{in,r}}{n_r} - Q_{out,RMr} + V_{pcp,RMr} - V_{evap,RMr} - V_{seep,RMr} \quad (7)$$

where $V_{RMr,t}$ is the storage volume of the hypothetical mean reservoir RM in water storage class r at day t ; $Q_{in,r}$ is the daily inflow to water storage class r ; n_r is the number of water storages in class r ; $Q_{out,RMr}$ is the daily outflow from reservoir RM ; $V_{pcp,RMr}$ is the daily precipitation falling on the water surface of reservoir RM ; and $V_{evap,RMr}$ and $V_{seep,RMr}$ are the daily evaporation and seepage from reservoir RM , respectively (all in m^3). The values of $V_{pcp,RMr}$, $V_{evap,RMr}$, and $V_{seep,RMr}$ are calculated with SWAT2005, and the total actual storage volume $V_{r,t}$ of water storage class r

within each sub-basin is obtained by

$$V_{r,t} = V_{RMr,t} \cdot n_r \quad (8)$$

- Inflow

The total sub-basin area is distributed as runoff contributing areas among the different water storage classes according to their drainage areas. The inflow $Q_{in,r}$ of water storage class r comprises direct inflow and additional inflow. The direct inflow to water storage class r is the fraction of the total sub-basin runoff Q_{gen} and is generated in a time step as the difference between the fraction fr_r of the sub-basin area that drains into water storage class r and the fraction fr_x of the sub-basin area that drains into water storage class $x < r$ within the drainage of water storage class r . Additional inflow to a water storage class r is provided by the fraction fr_r of outflow $Q_{out,x}$ of all water storage classes $x < r$ within the drainage of water storage class r . This approach accounts for the fact that a water storage could be upstream of any larger water storage (not necessarily a water storage of the next larger class) and have no other smaller water storage in the downstream direction. Additionally, outflow from one water storage class is attributed to any larger water storage class within the sub-basin in the same time step.

- Inflow of class 1

The pond category (class 1) is located on the top of the sequential and parallel routing scheme for water storages. The inflow of class 1 is the runoff generated in its drainage.

$$Q_{in,1} = fr_1 \cdot Q_{gen} \quad (9)$$

- Inflow of class 2

The inflow of class 2 is the sum of its direct inflow and additional inflow. Its direct inflow is the difference between the runoff generated in the drainage of class 2 and that generated in the drainage of class 1 within the drainage of class 2. Its additional inflow is the outflow of class 1 within the drainage of class 2.

$$Q_{in,2} = (1 - fr_1) \cdot fr_2 \cdot Q_{gen} + fr_2 \cdot Q_{out,1} \quad (10)$$

- Inflow of class 3

The inflow of class 3 is the sum of its direct inflow and additional inflow. Its direct inflow is the difference between the runoff generated in the drainage of class 3 and that generated in the drainage of classes 1 and 2 within the drainage of class 3. Its additional inflow is the outflow of classes 1 and 2 within the drainage of class 3. However, the runoffs generated in the drainage of class 1 within the drainage of class 2 and the outflows of class 1 within the drainage of class 2 are not considered.

$$Q_{in,3} = (1 - fr_1 - fr_2 + fr_1 \cdot fr_2) \cdot fr_3 \cdot Q_{gen} + fr_3 \cdot (Q_{out,1} + Q_{out,2} - fr_2 \cdot Q_{out,1}) \quad (11)$$

- Outflow

Because classes 1-3 (small-sized reservoirs and ponds) are simulated with the pond module of SWAT2005, they are referred to as ponds below. In the pond module of SWAT2005, the volume of pond outflow may be calculated with the target storage approach. The target storage varies with flood season and soil water content. The target volume is calculated as

$$V_{tar} = V_{em} \quad \text{If } mon_{fld,beg} < mon < mon_{fld,end} \quad (12)$$

$$V_{tar} = V_{pr} + \frac{\left(1 - \min\left[\frac{SW}{FC}, 1\right]\right)}{2} \cdot (V_{em} - V_{pr}) \quad \text{If } mon \leq mon_{fld,beg} \quad \text{or} \quad mon \geq mon_{fld,end} \quad (13)$$

where V_{tar} is the target pond volume for a given day (m^3); V_{em} is the volume of water held in the pond when filled to the emergency spillway (m^3); V_{pr} is the volume of water held in the pond when filled to the principal spillway (m^3); SW is the average soil water content in the sub-basin (mm); FC is the water content of the sub-basin soil at field capacity (mm); mon is the month of the year; $mon_{fld,beg}$ is the beginning month of the flood season; and $mon_{fld,end}$ is the ending month of the flood season.

Once the target storage is defined, the pond outflow is calculated as

$$V_{flowout} = \frac{V - V_{tar}}{ND_{tar}} \quad (14)$$

where $V_{flowout}$ is the volume of water flowing out of the pond during the day (m^3); V is the volume of water stored in the pond (m^3); V_{tar} is the target pond volume for a given day (m^3); and ND_{tar} is the number of days required for the pond to reach its target storage.

The outflow regulations of ponds are not considered in the target storage calculation. Güntner et al. (2004) assumed that outflows from the small- and medium-sized reservoirs only occur if the storage capacities are exceeded. Because the small-sized reservoirs within the study area presented in Güntner et al. (2004) were mainly simple earth dams without any outflow regulation devices, the outflow calculations proposed by Güntner et al. (2004) were valid. However, in China, different outflow regulations are used in the different pond classes (classes 1-3) and during the different periods, including the non-flood season, the beginning of the

flood season, the middle of the flood season, and the end of the flood season. Due to the lack of information on outflow regulations, in the target storage calculation, four principal storage volume adjustment parameters are set for the non-flood season, the beginning of the flood season, the middle of the flood season, and the end of the flood season.

- Target storage for class 1

$$V_{tar} = V_{em} \quad (15)$$

- Target storage for classes 2 and 3

$$V_{tar} = \beta_{fld,beg} \cdot V_{pr} \quad \text{If } May < mon < Jun \quad (\text{the beginning of the flood season}) \quad (16)$$

$$V_{tar} = \beta_{fld,mid} \cdot V_{pr} \quad \text{If } Jul < mon < Aug \quad (\text{the middle of the flood season}) \quad (17)$$

$$V_{tar} = \beta_{fld,end} \cdot V_{pr} \quad \text{If } Sept < mon < Oct \quad (\text{the end of the flood season}) \quad (18)$$

$$V_{tar} = \beta_{nonflood} \cdot V_{pr} + \frac{\left(1 - \min\left[\frac{SW}{FC}, 1\right]\right)}{2} \cdot (V_{em} - V_{pr})$$

If $mon \leq mon_{fld,beg}$ or $mon \geq mon_{fld,end}$ (19)

where V_{tar} , V_{em} , V_{pr} , SW , FC , mon , $mon_{fld,beg}$, and $mon_{fld,end}$ have been described above, and $\beta_{fld,beg}$, $\beta_{fld,mid}$, $\beta_{fld,end}$, and $\beta_{nonflood}$ are the four principal storage volume adjustment parameters set for the beginning of the flood season, the middle of the flood season, the end of the flood season, and the non-flood season, respectively.

2.2.3. Water-use distribution based on the parameter calibration

If the detailed water-use data of a given year are treated as the baseline, the water-use data of each sector (irrigation, livestock, domestic, industrial, and tourist

water use) are distributed spatially and temporally with land use and annual precipitation, respectively. Referred to the sources of water uses (water storages, reaches, and shallow aquifers), six fraction parameters are set for two separate time periods (October to April and May to September), i.e., $\alpha_{pnd,octapr}$ and $\alpha_{pnd,maysep}$ are set for the water storages in October to April and May to September, respectively, $\alpha_{rch,octapr}$ and $\alpha_{rch,maysep}$ are set for the reaches in October to April and May to September, respectively, and $\alpha_{gw,octapr}$ and $\alpha_{gw,maysep}$ are set for the shallow aquifers in October to April and May to September, respectively.

2.3. Physical parameter and human interference parameter calibrations

2.3.1. Calibration approach

The physical parameter calibration is first processed with minimal human activities. The human interference parameter calibration is then processed with stable human activities. Distinguish the natural and stable periods, apply the parameters calibrated during the natural period to the hydrological simulation during the stable period, and avoid the phenomenon of “the same effect of different parameters”. In this paper, the sensitivity analysis and calibration helper module of SWAT2005 are used to calibrate the parameters during the two periods.

2.3.2. Evaluation criterion

The mean relative error (MRE), the coefficient of determination (R^2), and the Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency (NSE) are used to evaluate the simulated streamflows with the observed streamflows.

The MRE is computed according to Equation 20.

$$MRE = \frac{P^{mean} - Q^{mean}}{Q^{mean}} \times 100\% \quad (20)$$

where P^{mean} and Q^{mean} are the means of the simulated streamflows and the observed streamflows, respectively. “ MRE values of 0 indicate a perfect fit. Positive values indicate model overestimation bias, and negative values indicate model underestimation bias (Hao et al., 2006).”

R^2 is computed according to Equation 21.

$$R^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (P_i - P^{mean})(Q_i - Q^{mean})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (P_i - P^{mean})^2 \sum_{i=1}^n (Q_i - Q^{mean})^2}} \quad (21)$$

where P_i is the i th simulated value for the streamflows; Q_i is the i th observation for the streamflows; P^{mean} is the mean of the simulated streamflows; Q^{mean} is the mean of the observed streamflows; and n is the total number of observations. “ R^2 describes the portion of the variance in measured data explained by the model. R^2 ranges from 0 to 1, with lower values indicating more error variance, and typically $R^2 = 1$ is considered the optimal value (Moriassi et al., 2007).”

NSE is computed according to Equation 22.

$$NSE = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (Q_i - P_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (Q_i - Q^{mean})^2} \quad (22)$$

where Q_i is the i th observation for the streamflows; P_i is the i th simulated value for the streamflows; Q^{mean} is the mean of the observed streamflows; and n is the total number of observations. The NSE ranges between $-\infty$ and 1.0, with $NSE = 1.0$ as the optimal value. “Values between 0.0 and 1.0 are generally viewed as acceptable

levels of performance, whereas a value less than 0.0 shows that the mean observed value is a better predictor than the simulated value, which indicates unacceptable performance (Luo et al., 2008).”

3. Datasets

3.1. Study site

The Fengman Reservoir, which has a storage volume of more than $112 \times 10^8 \text{ m}^3$, is located in the Second Songhua River, situated in the southeast of Jilin province in China. Its basin drains an area of $42,500 \text{ km}^2$, occupying 55 per cent of the total drainage area of the Second Songhua River and consisting of approximately 2000 reservoirs and countless ponds. Approximate 9335 water storages, each with a water area of more than 4000 m^2 , could be identified in the basin with the 2002 satellite remote sensing images. In 1995, due to the impoundment and release of water storages, the unpredictable inflows to the Fengman Reservoir were approximate $4 \times 10^8 \text{ m}^3$ during the flood season. Therefore, the basin hydrologic water cycle simulation is becoming increasingly difficult, and the impact rules of the impoundment and release of water storages on runoff are difficult to obtain. Given that the Fengman Reservoir is a multipurpose reservoir serving flood control, power generation, and water supply, the Fengman Reservoir operation has become increasingly difficult recently, particularly during the flood season.

The study area is limited to the upper-middle stream region of the Fengman Reservoir above the Wudaogou hydrologic station, referred to as the Fengman

Reservoir Basin in this study. The basin drains an area of 12,411 km². Its mean annual precipitation is 720 mm, and its mean annual precipitation during the flood season is 510 mm, accounting for more than 70 per cent of its mean annual precipitation. The water storages within the basin drain an area of 7421.27 km², accounting for 63.98 per cent of the basin drainage area.

Ten rain gauges (Liuhe, Huifacheng, Fumin, Hailong, Sanyuanpu, Xiangyang, Meihekou, Gushanzi, Jiangjiajie, and Yangmulin) and four hydrologic stations (Panshi, Dongfeng, Yangzishao, and Wudaogou) are within the basin (Fig. 3). The ten rain gauges provide daily precipitation data, whereas the four hydrologic stations provide daily precipitation and streamflow data. The spatial topology of water storages in the individual sub-basin above the Panshi hydrologic station (Fig. 4) justifies the assumptions that small-sized reservoirs of the same class are interconnected in a parallel scheme and that small-sized reservoirs of different classes are interconnected in a sequential scheme.

Based on the survey, one large-sized reservoir (class 5), i.e., the Hailong reservoir, twelve middle-sized reservoirs (class 4), approximately 500 small-sized reservoirs (classes 2 and 3), and countless ponds (class 1) were built from the 1950s to the 1980s in the Fengman Reservoir Basin. The total storage volume of classes 2-5 is approximately $9.58 \times 10^8 \text{ m}^3$. The storage volume of the Hailong Reservoir is approximately $3.70 \times 10^8 \text{ m}^3$. The total storage volume of classes 2-4 is approximately $5.88 \times 10^8 \text{ m}^3$. Therefore, the numerous water storages significantly influence the runoff within the basin. The drainage area and storage volume of each water storage

class within the basin are shown in Table 1. The small-sized reservoirs (classes 2 and 3) have the largest drainage area and storage volume, while the numerous ponds (class 1) have the smallest storage volume. Numerous water storages were built from the 1960s to the 1990s, and a few water storages were built before 1956 or after 1990. Therefore, the pre-1956 and post-1990 periods are treated as natural and stable periods, respectively.

3.2. Data collection

Next, a short description of the data gathered for the Fengman Reservoir Basin is provided, and the way in which the data were processed for the application of the improved SWAT2005 is described.

(i) DEM data (raster resolution: $90\text{ m} \times 90\text{ m}$) were obtained from <http://srtm.csi.cgiar.org>.

(ii) Soil data (scale = $1:10^6$) were collected from the Data Center for Resources and Environmental Sciences Chinese Academy of Sciences (RESDC).

(iii) Land use data for the 1980s and 2000s (scale = $1:10^5$) were collected from the Data Center for Resources and Environmental Sciences Chinese Academy of Sciences (RESDC). Because several water storages were built before 1956 or after 1990 in the Fengman Reservoir Basin, basin land use data for the years before 1956 and after 1990 are also needed. Previous studies show that from 1954 to 1976, the main land use change was the decrease in the area of upstream mountains, and the decreased forest area was mainly used for crops and grasses (Zhang et al., 2006; Kuang et al., 2006). The population of the eastern mountain area of Jilin Province increased from

1950 to 2000. The population was 219,600 in 1954 and 2.12 times that in 1976. The population in 2000 was 2.62 times the population in 1956. Therefore, to obtain basin land use data for the years before 1956, the basin land use data for the 1980s are modified as follows: (1) the basin water storage land use is modified to forest, and (2) according to the basin population distribution, the basin grass and crop land uses in the locations, where the population in 1980 is dense, and the population in 1956 is sparse are modified to forest.

(iv) Digital river network data (scale = $1:2.5 \times 10^5$) were obtained from the 1:4 M-scale Topographic Database of the National Fundamental Geographic Information System of China.

(v) Daily precipitation data for 14 stations over a 54 year period (1953-2006) and daily streamflow data for 4 stations over a 53 year period (1954-2006) were obtained from the Hydrological Administration of Jilin Province, China. Daily meteorological data (temperature, solar radiation, wind speed, and relative humidity) for 4 stations over a 54 year period (1953-2006) were obtained from the China Meteorological Data Sharing Service System.

(vi) All of the individual water storage characteristics were obtained from the Hydrological Administration of Jilin Province, China. Detailed design and running information are known for the large- and medium-sized reservoirs (classes 4 and 5). For small-sized reservoirs (classes 2 and 3), only their geographic positions, drainage areas, emergency storage volumes, and principal storage volumes are known at the level of administrative units (municipalities). For ponds (class 1), only the total

drainage area and storage volume are known at the basin level.

(vi) Water-use data for the 2000s were obtained from the Hydrological Administration of Jilin Province, China, and were used as a baseline.

4. Results and Discussions

4.1. Results of the water storage classification and the relationship between water surface area and principal storage volume for each water storage class

The classifications and relationships between water surface area and principal storage volume for each water storage class within the basin, obtained with the aforementioned method, are given in Table 2.

4.2. Calibration and validation results

The improved SWAT2005 is used to simulate streamflow in the Fengman Reservoir Basin. Because hydrologic stations within the basin are scarce in the streamflow data for the 1950s, the Yangzishao and Wudaogou hydrologic stations were chosen as calibration stations during the natural periods, and the streamflow data from the pre-1960 period were used to calibrate the physical parameters. Because water storages are the main human activities taking place in the upper part of the Panshi and Dongfeng hydrologic stations, the Panshi and Dongfeng hydrologic stations were chosen as calibration stations during the stable period, and the streamflow data from the 1990-1995 and post-1996 periods were used to calibrate and validate the human interference parameters, respectively. The Yangzishao and Wudaogou hydrologic stations were chosen as the improved SWAT2005 validation

stations during the stable period, and the streamflow data from the post-1990 period were used to validate the improved SWAT2005.

To compare the performance between the original and improved SWAT2005 in the whole, two scenarios, S0 (considering human activities according to the original SWAT2005 with the calibrated physical parameters) and S1 (considering human activities according to the improved SWAT2005 with the calibrated physical and human interference parameters described aforementioned), are designed. To examine the performance of water balance and transport through a network combining both sequential and parallel streams and storage links in the improved SWAT2005, an additional scenarios, S2 (considering water balance and transport through a network combining both sequential and parallel streams and storage links and ignoring the human interference parameters), is designed.

4.2.1. First-stage calibration results

Fig. 5 shows the observed and simulated monthly streamflows during the physical parameter calibration period (before 1960) at the Yangzishao and Wudaogou hydrologic stations, and the calibrated physical parameters are shown in Table 3. The values of R^2 and NSE exceed 0.6 and 0.8 respectively, and the value of MRE does not exceed 10% in the first-stage calibration. Therefore, the first-stage calibration results meet the precision requirements of basin hydrologic cycle.

4.2.2. Second-stage calibration results

Fig. 6 shows the observed and simulated monthly streamflows by S0 and S1 during the human interference parameter calibration period (1990-1995) at the Panshi

and Dongfeng hydrologic stations, and the calibrated human interference parameters are shown in Table 4. The values of R^2 and NSE exceed 0.6 and 0.8 respectively, and the value of MRE does not exceed 10% in the second-stage calibration. Therefore, the second-stage calibration results meet the precision requirements of basin hydrologic cycle.

4.2.3. Validation results

Fig. 7 shows the observed and simulated monthly streamflows by S0 and S1 over the validation periods at the Panshi, Dongfeng, Yangzishao and Wudaogou hydrologic stations. There is a clear improvement in the simulation at the Panshi and Dongfeng hydrologic stations, while the simulation at the Yangzishao and Wudaogou hydrologic stations improved less significantly. Over the validation periods, the mean model performance rises from 0.887 (R^2) and 0.735 (NSE) to 0.925 and 0.848 at the Panshi and Dongfeng hydrologic stations, respectively, while the mean model performance rises from 0.922 (R^2) and 0.843 (NSE) to 0.964 and 0.913 at the Yangzishao and Wudaogou hydrologic stations, respectively.

From fig. 7, there are six flood processes in the validation periods at Panshi and Dongfeng Stations, which are in 1996, 1998, 2003, 2004, 2005, and 2006, and there are eleven flood processes in the validation periods at Yangzishao and Wudaogou Stations, which are in 1991, 1993, 1994, 1995, 1996, 1998, 2001, 2003, 2004, 2005, and 2006. Because both large flood and small flood are needed to present the performance of the model in flood season visually, we have chosen the 1998 and 2004 flood seasons at the Panshi and Dongfeng hydrologic stations, the 1995 and 2004

flood seasons at the Yangzishao and Wudaogou hydrologic stations. Fig. 8 shows the evaluation criterion for the flood seasons over the validation periods, the observed and simulated monthly streamflows by S0 and S1 for the 1998 and 2004 flood seasons at the Panshi and Dongfeng hydrologic stations, as well as the observed and simulated monthly streamflows by S0 and S1 for the 1995 and 2004 flood seasons at the Yangzishao and Wudaogou hydrologic stations. Fig. 8 also shows that there is a clear improvement in the simulation at the Panshi and Dongfeng hydrologic stations, while the simulation at the Yangzishao and Wudaogou hydrologic stations improved less significantly for the flood seasons over the validation periods. For the flood seasons over the validation periods, the mean model performance rises from 0.878 (R^2) and 0.719 (NSE) to 0.921 and 0.843 at the Panshi and Dongfeng hydrologic stations, respectively, while the mean model performance rises from 0.929 (R^2) and 0.826 (NSE) to 0.961 and 0.910 at the Yangzishao and Wudaogou hydrologic stations, respectively.

Fig. 9 compares the evaluation criteria of S0, S1, and S2 for the flood seasons over the validation periods at the Panshi and Dongfeng hydrologic stations. For the flood seasons over the validation periods, the mean model performance rises from 0.878 (R^2) and 0.719 (NSE) by S0 to 0.907 and 0.818 by S2 at the Panshi and Dongfeng hydrologic stations, respectively, and the R^2 and NSE in S2 are improved by 66.824% and 80.114%, respectively, compared to those in S1.

Fig. 10 shows the water balance and transport through a network combining both sequential and parallel streams and storage links above the Panshi hydrologic station

over the validation periods. The results indicated that approximately 9.0% of the annual total inflows to small-sized reservoirs (class 2) were derived from ponds (class 1), and approximately 9.2% and 7.7% of the annual total inflows to small-sized reservoirs (class 3) were derived from ponds (class 1) and small-sized reservoirs (class 2), respectively. The results indicated that the annual water supplies from ponds (class 1), small-sized reservoirs (class 2) and small-sized reservoirs (class 3) were $9.79 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$, $6.62 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$, and $9.39 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$, respectively.

4.3. Discussions

The results indicate that the simulation precision is improved at all four hydrologic stations in the improved SWAT2005 relative to the original SWAT2005. There is a clear improvement in the simulation of the Panshi and Dongfeng hydrologic stations, while the simulation of the Yangzishao and Wudaogou hydrologic stations improved less significantly over the validation periods. There is a clear improvement in the simulation of the Panshi and Dongfeng hydrologic stations, while the simulation of the Yangzishao and Wudaogou hydrologic stations improved less significantly for the flood seasons over the validation periods. The Panshi and Dongfeng hydrologic stations are located in the upper stream region of the Fengman Reservoir Basin, and water storages are the main human activities within their drainages. The Yangzishao and Wudaogou hydrologic stations are located in the lower stream region of the Fengman Reservoir Basin, and multiple human activities influence the hydrologic cycle within their drainages. Therefore, the simulation precision improved more at the Panshi and Dongfeng hydrologic stations. The

improvements over the validation periods are mostly due to the improvements in the flood seasons over the validation periods, and the improvements in the flood seasons over the validation periods are mainly due to the consideration of the water balance and transport through a network combining both sequential and parallel streams and storage links.

The two-stage parameter calibration strategy and the three scenarios are used to compare the performances of the original and improved SWAT2005 in the regions with numerous small- and middle-sized water storages. The results indicate that water balance and transport through a network combining both sequential and parallel streams and storage links in the improved SWAT2005 reflects the basin characteristics reasonably well and significantly improves the precision of the simulation, especially in the flood seasons. Through calibrating the physical and human interference parameters, the observed flows and simulated flows correspond well.

5. Conclusion

The differences between the original SWAT2005 model and the improved SWAT2005 model are summarised as follows: (1) a realistic representation of the relationships between the water surface area and volume of each type of water storages, ranging from small-sized ponds for water flow regulation to large- and medium-sized reservoirs for water supply and hydropower generation, (2) water balance and transport through a network combining both sequential and parallel streams and storage links, and (3) calibrations for the physical parameters and then the human interference parameters. The improved model could have an obvious better

performance than the original one in flood seasons and in the basins where water storages are the main human activities. Due to the obvious streamflow relationships among water storages in flood seasons and the neutralized influence of water storages in the basins with complicated human activities, water balance and transport through a network combining both sequential and parallel streams and storage links within the improved model would give better performance in flood seasons and in the basins where water storages are the main storages.

The two-stage parameter calibration strategy and the three scenarios are used to compare the performance between the original and improved SWAT2005 in the regions with numerous small- and medium-sized water storages. Compared to the original SWAT2005, the precision of the simulation during the stable period is improved at each hydrologic station in the improved SWAT2005. The results indicate that water balance and transport through a network combining both sequential and parallel streams and storage links in the improved SWAT2005 reflects the basin characteristics reasonably well and significantly improves the precision of the simulation, especially in the flood seasons. Through calibrating the physical and human interference parameters, the observed flows and simulated flows correspond well.

Therefore, compared to the original SWAT2005, the small- and medium-sized water storages are accurately modelled in the improved SWAT2005, which can be used in other basins or regions similar to the Fengman Reservoir Basin, particularly in the northeast of China, where there is a large number of water storages.

The validation of the improved SWAT2005 and the calibration of its simulated streamflows are only proceeded with limited discharge gauges. The simulation results within the drainages of the limited discharge gauges could be well calibrated and validated in the whole, and it is difficult to guarantee the precision of streamflow simulation and validate the improved SWAT2005 in the sub-basins of the drainages of the limited discharge gauges. Specific choice for the design of the water balance and transport through a network combining both sequential and parallel streams and storage links is subject to high uncertainty, as there are few data available to validate them. The present description is of an appropriate complexity, being able to represent the basic mechanisms of hydrological processes and water management that influence the water balance, while being flexible enough to allow for inclusions of additional process knowledge or data. With the increase of available information on topography, locations and water surface area variations of reservoirs from remote sensing studies, the improved SWAT2005 and its validation approach could be refined. Additionally, a better knowledge of reservoir operation rules promises to significantly improve the model performance.

Acknowledgements

We are pleased to acknowledge the financial support for this project from the National Natural Science Foundation of China entitled Research on Laws of the Impacts of Human Activities on Catchment Water Cycle Based on SWAT Model (Grant No. 51079014) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China entitled Hydropower Reservoir Optimal Operation under Hydrometeorological Uncertain

Enviroment (Grant No. 51109025). We would also like to thank the Institute of Water Resources and Flood Control of Dalian University of Technology in China for providing the data essential for this research.

References

- Arnold, J.G., Fohrer, N.. 2005. SWAT2000: current capabilities and research opportunities in applied watershed modelling. *Hydrological Processes* 19(3): 563–572.
- Borah, D.K., Bera, M.. 2004. Watershed-scale hydrologic and nonpoint-source pollution models: review of applications. *Transactions of the ASAE* 47(3): 789–803.
- Chorography Editorial Committee of Jilin Province. Jilin Province Chorography Population. Changchun: Jilin People's Publishing House, 1991.
- Frazier, P.S., Page, K.J.. 2000. Water body detection and delineation with Landsat TM data. *ISPRS J. Photogramm. Eng. Remote Sens.* 66(12): 1461–1467.
- Gardini, B., Graf, G., Ratte, G.. 1995. The instruments on Envisat. *Acta Astronaut.* 37: 301–311.
- Gassman, P.W., Reyes, M.R., Green, C.H., Arnold, J.G.. 2007. The soil and water assessment tool: historical development, applications, and future research directions. *Transactions of the ASABE* 50(4): 1211–1250.
- Gross, E.J., Moglen, G.E.. 2007. Estimating the hydrological influence of Maryland state dams using GIS and the HEC-1 model. *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering* 12: 690–693.
- Güntner, A., Krol, M.S., De Araújo, J.C., Bronstert, A.. 2004. Simple water balance modelling of surface reservoir systems in a large data-scarce semiarid region. *Hydrological Science Journal* 49(5): 901–918.

- Hao, F., Cheng, H., Yang, S.. 2006. Non-point source pollution model. China Environmental Science Press: Beijing.
- Hotchkiss, R.H., Jorgensen, S.F., Stone, M.C., Fontaine, T.A.. 2000. Regulated river modeling for climate change impact assessment: The Missouri River. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association* 36(2): 375–386.
- Jayatilaka, C.J., Sakthivadivel, R., Shinogi, Y., Makin, I.W., Witharana, P.. 2003. A simple water balance modelling approach for determining water availability in an irrigation tank cascade system. *Journal of Hydrology* 273: 81–102.
- Kannan, N., White, S.M., Worrall, F., Whelan, M.J.. 2007. Sensitivity analysis and identification of the best evapotranspiration and runoff options for hydrological modeling in SWAT-2000. *Journal of Hydrology* 332: 456-466.
- Kuang, W.H., Zhang, S.W., Zhang, Y.Z., Li, Y., Hou, W.. 2006. Changes of forest landscape and its driving mechanism during the last fifty years in the eastern mountain area of Jilin Province. *Journal of Beijing Forestry University* 28(3): 38–45.
- Liebe, J., Van De Giesen, N., Andreini, M.. 2005. Estimation of small reservoir storage capacities in a semi-arid environment. A case study in the upper east region of Ghana, *Phys. Chem. Earth*, 30: 448–454.
- Liebe, J.R., Van De Giesen, N., Andreini, M., Walter, M.T., Steenhuis, T.S.. 2009. Determining watershed response in data poor environments with remotely sensed small reservoirs as runoff gauges. *Water Resources Research* 45: W07410.
- Lopez-Moreno, J.I., Vicente-Serrano, S.M., Begueria, S., Garcia-Ruiz, J.M., Portela,

- M.M.. 2009. Dam effects on droughts magnitude and duration in a transboundary basin: the Lower River Tagus, Spain and Portugal. *Water Resources Research* 45: W02405.
- Luo, Y., He, C., Sophocleous, M., Yin, Z., Ren, H., Zhu, O.. 2008. Assessment of crop growth and soil water modules in SWAT2000 using extensive field experiment data in an irrigation district of the Yellow River Basin. *Journal of Hydrology* 352: 139–156.
- McFeeters, S.K.. 1996. The use of the normalized difference water index (NDWI) in the delineation of open water features. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 17(7): 1425–1432.
- Mialhe, F., Gunnell, Y., Mering, C.. 2008. Synoptic assessment of water resource variability in reservoirs by remote sensing: General approach and application to the runoff harvesting systems of south India. *Water Resources Research* 44: W05411.
- Mishra, A., Froebrich, J., Gassman, P.W.. 2007. Evaluation of the SWAT model for assessing sediment control structures in a small watershed in India. *Transaction of the ASABE* 50(2): 469–477.
- Moriasi, D.N., Arnold, J.G., Van Liew, M.W., Bingner, R.L., Harmel, R.D., Veith, T.L.. 2007. Model evaluation guidelines for systematic quantification of accuracy in watershed simulations. *Transactions of the American Society of Agricultural and Biological Engineers* 50(3): 885–900.
- Neitsch, S.L., Arnold, J.G., Kiniry, J.R., Srinivasan, R., Williams, J.R.. 2002a. Soil and water assessment tool user's manual. Version 2000. Texas Water Resources

- Institute: College Station; 412 p.
- Neitsch, S.L., Arnold, J.G., Kiniry, J.R., Williams, J.R., King, K.W.. 2002c. Soil and water assessment tool theoretical documentation. Version 2000. Texas Water Resources Institute: College Station; 458 p.
- Payan, J.L., Perrin, C., Andréassian, V., Michel, C.. 2008. How can man-made water reservoirs be accounted for in a lumped rainfall-runoff model? *Water Resources Research* 44: W03420.
- Saxton, K.E., Willey, P.H.. 2004. Agricultural Wetland and Pond Hydrologic Analyses Using the SPAW Model. IN J. L. D'Ambrosio (ed.) *Self-Sustaining Solutions for Streams, Wetlands, and Watersheds* (12 - 15 September 2004, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA), St. Joseph, Michigan: ASAE., Pub. Date 12 September 2004. ASAE Pub #701P0504, pp. 16–23.
- Sophocleous, M.A., Perkins, S.P.. 2000. Methodology and application of combined watershed and ground-water models in Kansas. *Journal of Hydrology* 236: 185–201.
- Srinivasan, R., Arnold, J.G., Rosenthal, W., Muttiah, R.S.. 1996. Hydrological modeling of Texas gulf Basin using GIS. In *GIS and environmental modeling: progress and research issues*, Goodchild, M.F., Steyaert, L.T., Parks, B.O., Johnston, C., Maidment, D., Crane, M., Glendinning S (eds). *GIS World Book*: Fort Collins: 213–217.
- Statistical Bureau of Jilin Province. *Jilin Province Statistical Annual (2000)*. Beijing: China Statistics Press, 2001.

- Van Liew, M.W., Garbrecht, J.D., Arnold, J.G. 2003. Simulation of the impacts of flood retarding structures on streamflow for a watershed in southwestern Oklahoma under dry, average, and wet climatic conditions. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation* 58(6): 340–348.
- Wang, G., Xia, J.. 2010. Improvement of SWAT2000 modelling to access the impact of dams and sluices on streamflow in the Huai River basin of China. *Hydrological Processes* 24: 1455–1471.
- White, Kati, L., Indrajeet, Chaubey. 2005. Sensitivity analysis, calibration, and validations for a multisite and multivariable SWAT model. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association* 41(5): 1077-1089.
- White, M.E.. 1978. Reservoir surface area from Landsat imagery. *ISPRS J. Photogramm. Eng. Remote Sens.* 44(11): 1421–1426.
- Zhang, S.W., Zhang, Y.Z., Li, Y., Chang, L.P.. 2006. Analysis of spatial-temporal features of land use/cover change in Northeast. Beijing: Science Press.

Table 1 Classification of water storages and the total drainage area and storage

volume of each class in the Fengman Reservoir Basin

Class	1	2	3	4	5	
Storage capacity (10^6m^3)	<0.1	0.1~1.0	1.0~10.0	10.0~50.0	>50.0	Total
Total drainage area (km^2)	2252	1989	1902	730	548	7421
Total storage volume (10^6m^3)	54.62	152.63	255.07	179.82	316.00	958.14

Table 2 Classification of water storages and correlations between the water surface area (A; m²) and principal storage volume (V; 10⁴m³) of each water storage class within the basin

Classification indexes			Correlation coefficient between A and V
Slope (%)	Drainage area (km ²)/principal storage volume(10 ⁴ m ³)	Principal storage volume (10 ⁴ m ³)	
0~15	>=0.14	>=10	0.80
		0~10	
	<0.14	>=10	0.74
>15	>=0.1	<=17	0.90
		17~77	0.63
		>=77	0.73
	<0.1	<77	0.63
		>=77	0.73

Table 3 Calibrated physical parameters

Parameter Name	Original Value	Calibrated Value
ALPHA_BF	0.048	0.477
ESCO	0.950	0.968
GW_DELAY	31.000	5.541
SFTMP	1.000	0.73
SMTMP	0.500	4.441
SMFMX	4.500	3.136
TIMP	1.000	0.048
GWQMN	0.000	20.000
RCHRG_DP	0.050	0.100
CN2 ^a		0.756
		2.8 (crop)
CANMX		4.8 (forest)
		4.1 (grass)

^a Parameters are multiplicative factors used to simultaneously adjust all spatially variable base values of the CN2 parameter.

Table 4 Calibrated human interference parameter values, including the principal storage volume adjustment parameters and the fraction parameters for different water sources

<i>Calibrated principal storage volume adjustment parameter values</i>				
Parameter	$\beta_{fld,beg}$	$\beta_{fld,mid}$	$\beta_{fld,end}$	$\beta_{nonflod}$
Calibrated Value	0.9	1.0	1.1	1.0
<i>Calibrated fraction parameter values for different water sources</i>				
Parameter	$\alpha_{pnd,maysep}$	$\alpha_{rch,maysep}$	$\alpha_{gw,maysep}$	
Calibrated Value	0.587	0.391	0.022	
Parameter	$\alpha_{pnd,octapr}$	$\alpha_{rch,octapr}$	$\alpha_{gw,octapr}$	
Calibrated Value	0.0	0.0	1.0	




Fig. 1 Framework for the improved SWAT2005




Fig. 2 Sequential and parallel routing scheme for water storages in the improved SWAT2005




Fig. 4 Spatial topology of the water storages in the Panshi sub-basin

Additionally, “9”, “11”, “12” and “14” in rectangles (individual sub-basins) mean the numbers of individual sub-basins.




Fig. 5 Observed and simulated monthly streamflows during the physical parameter calibration period (before 1960) at two discharge gauges: (a) Yangzishao and (b) Wudaogou, where

R^2 is the coefficient of determination; NSE is the Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency; and MRE (%) refers to the mean relative error.




Fig. 6 Observed and simulated monthly streamflows during human interference parameter calibration period (1990-1995) at two discharge gauges: (a) Panshi and (b) Dongfeng.

S0 refers to the consideration of human activities by the original SWAT2005 with calibrated physical parameters, while S1 refers to the consideration of human activities by the improved SWAT2005 with the calibrated physical and human interference parameters described above.





Fig. 7 Observed and simulated monthly streamflows over the validation periods at all four discharge gauges: (a) Panshi (1996-2006), (b) Dongfeng (1996-2006), (c) Yangzishao (1990-2006) and (d) Wudaogou (1990-2006).






Fig. 8 Observed and simulated monthly streamflows for the 1998 and 2004 flood seasons at two discharge gauges: (a) Panshi and (b) Dongfeng, and observed and simulated monthly streamflows for the 1995 and 2004 flood seasons at two discharge gauges: (c) Yangzishao and (d) Wudaogou, where the evaluation criteria (R^2 , NSE, and MRE) within each sub-figure refer to all of the flood seasons over the validation periods.




Fig. 9 Comparisons of the evaluation criteria for the flood seasons over the validation periods: (a) R^2 , (b) NSE and (c) MRE. In S2, considering the water balance and transport through a network combining both sequential and parallel streams and storage links while ignoring the human interference parameters.




Fig. 10 Water balance and transport through a network combining both sequential and parallel streams and storage links above Panshi hydrologic station over the validation periods: (a) Inflow, (b) Outflow and (c) Water Use.